

2008 TAC Roster and Attendance			
Member	Agency	Jan	Mar
Ian McAvoy (Co-Chair)	SamTrans	x	
Jim Porter (Co-Chair)	San Mateo County Engineering	x	
April Chan	Peninsula Corridor JPB	x	
Bob Beyer	San Mateo Planning	x	x
Duncan Jones	Atherton Engineering	x	x
Gene Gonzalo	CalTrans	x	
Jon Lynch	Redwood City Engineering		x
Joseph Hurley	SMCTA	x	x
K. Folan	MTC		
Larry Patterson	San Mateo City Engineering		x
Bill Meeker	Burlingame Planning		x
Parviz Mokhtari	San Carlos Engineering	x	x
Randy Breault	Brisbane Engineering	x	x
Ray Towne	Foster City Engineering	x	x
Reza (Ray) M. Razavi	South San Francisco Engineering	x	x
Rick Mao	Colma Engineering	x	
Ron Popp	Millbrae Engineering	x	x
Ruben Nino	Menlo Park Engineering	x	
Sandy Wong	C/CAG CMP	x	x
Syed Murtuza	Burlingame Engineering	x	x
Tatum Mothershead	Daly City Planning	x	
Van Ocampo	Pacifica Engineering	x	
<b>Vacant</b>	Engineering		
<b>Vacant</b>	Engineering		
<b>Vacant</b>	San Mateo County Planning		

# **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) FOR THE CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CMP)**

**March 20, 2008  
MINUTES**

The one hundred seventy-first (171st) meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was held in the SamTrans Offices, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, Bacciocco Auditorium.

In place of the Co-chairs, TAC member Joe Hurley called the meeting to order at 1:20 p.m. on Thursday, March 20, 2008.

TAC members attending the meeting are listed on the Roster and Attendance on the preceding page. Others attending the meeting were: John Hoang – C/CAG; Richard Napier – C/CAG; Tom Madalena, C/CAG; Jim Bigelow – CMEQ; Pat Dixon – SMCTA CAC, Patrick Sweetland – Daly City; Brian Lee – San Mateo Co.; Karen Borrmann – Belmont.

**1. Public comment on items not on the agenda.**

There were no public comments.

**2. Issues from the last C/CAG and CMEQ meetings.**

As shown on the Agenda.

**3. Approval of the Minutes from January 17, 2008.**

Approved.

**4. Update on 2020 Peninsula Gateway Corridor Study**

John Hoang presented a project update including development of an assessment of benefits/cost/impacts, detailed analysis on representative projects, categorization of projects, and next steps and action plan.

Questions and comments were as follows:

- Clarification on due date for comments on the report. Comments should be returned to C/CAG by March 26, 2008.
- How does this project compare to the rest of the US-101? Is it one of the high priorities for traffic congestion and/or accident rates? The project's intent was to focus
- 300 project ideas came from public meetings
- What do we do with these 71 projects now? The idea is to move forward to project implementation and further project analysis with selected project based on a prioritization process.
- The matrix indicates that Project O, Tunnel beneath EPA has good benefits but project appears like a tough project to move forward, unlike ITS types of projects.
- TA is looking for specific project to roll out and fund.

**5. Recommendation of the Fiscal Year 2008/09 Expenditure Program for the Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Program for San Mateo County**

Tom Madalena presented on the recommendation for the TFCA Program, including funding sources and changes to the program. Corrections to the report including 4% allocation for the Alliance. Program recommendation for SamTrans is corrected to be \$612,000 and the Alliance to be \$481,000.

Questions and comments were as follows:

- How does the Menlo Park shuttle fit in? Menlo Park will not be funded through this program. Four percent will go to the Alliance.

**6. Recommendation for the 4<sup>th</sup> Cycle of the Transit Oriented Development Housing Incentive Program**

Tom Madalena presented on the Program.

Questions and comments were as follows:

- Are total counts for the overall program available? Staff will provide to Larry Patterson.
- Funds are allocated. In order for cities to collect, does the project need to be built before they can receive the funds? The program is designed whereas if the project doesn't get built, then the funds are put back into the program.
- When cities get this money, cities still have to go through the federal process.

**7. Allocation of local share of funding under the C/CAG Vehicle Registration Fee (AB 1546) Program – FY 07/08 1<sup>st</sup> Half**

John Hoang reported on the allocation of this program. Letters were sent to city managers and public works copied. This current cycle will end December 2008. SB 348, the current reauthorization of this program, is in process.

Questions and comments were as follows:

- Richard Napier, C/CAG executive director, reminded cities to submit letters of support to C/CAG
- Copy of letter to public works directors is effective and assures information is received by public works.

**8. Measure A Strategic Plan Update**

Joe Hurley provided an update. A subcommittee (Larry Patterson, Randy Breault, Syed Murtuza, Van Ocampo) was formed and had meetings with the city managers over a three-month period. Focus is on the highway program. Issues included bias towards the mainline projects versus non-mainline and geographic equity. The plan is to come back and do a more formal presentation. Sequence of events will be to bring the findings back to the TAC, city managers, TA CAC, the TA Board, and then the public. With regards to the grade separation projects, continuing to look at the footprints of cities that are affected. Meetings will be scheduled with cities to solicit input within community.

Questions and comments were as follows:

- It was a good effort, thanks to Joe and Ian for meeting with the cities and addressed a lot of issues cities had. Meetings were good to tighten up and be more specific. City managers have confidence with the subcommittee.
- Plan to get a packet out to the TAC ahead of time before the meeting to help prime the discussion.

**9. Member Reports**

There are three TAC vacancies. C/CAG is looking to backfill with city engineers and/or planners.

Meeting adjourned at 2:00pm.